Dissolution kinetics of Si and Al from montmorillonite in carbonic acid solution

Xiaoming Ni · Quanzhong Li · Wenxue Chen

Received: 7 November 2013/Revised: 10 March 2014/Accepted: 12 March 2014/Published online: 21 August 2014 © The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The reaction between carbonic acid and montmorillonite minerals was studied in order to provide a theoretical basis for analyzing changes in the physical properties of coal seams after CO_2 injection and for optimizing CO_2 pumping parameters. A single montmorillonite mineral of purity >90 % was selected and subjected to reactions at 25, 35, and 45 °C in carbonic acid solutions of varying acidity. The Si and Al concentrations in the solutions and the structure and elemental compositions of the montmorillonite before and after the reactions were analyzed using a spectrophotometer, an X-ray diffractometer, and an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer; kinetic reaction models were established for the dissolution of Si and Al in carbonic acid solutions in order to estimate the apparent activation energy of Si dissolution under different acidity conditions. The results indicate that Al dissolved rapidly and soon reached solubility equilibrium. On the other hand, Si concentration in the solutions increased rapidly and then gradually declined with vibrations, with maximum values at 25, 35, and 45 °C, which were observed at approximately 96, 72, and 48 h, respectively. In addition, Si dissolution fitted the diffusion-controlled reaction model well; as the pH value decreased, the apparent activation energy of Si dissolution decreases d, and Si became easier to dissolve. Furthermore, it was concluded that as a weak acid, carbonic acid causes little damage to the mineral structure of montmorillonite.

Keywords Montmorillonite · Carbonic acid solution · Dissolution of Si and Al · Reaction kinetics

1 Introduction

Injecting CO_2 into coal seams could enhance coal bed methane recovery (CO_2 -ECBM). The effectiveness of this technique depends on the different adsorption abilities of coal for CO_2 and CH_4 and the displacement of CH_4 as the result of injection of a certain quantity of CO_2 into deep

W. Chen

coal seams. In 1995, CO₂-ECBM experiments were first conducted in the Burlington Allison Unit in the San Juan basin in the United States, resulting in a 15 % increase in coal bed methane output, despite remarkable differences in the different wells (White et al. 2005). Pilot experiments were performed later in Canada, Poland, and Japan. The experimental results indicated that injecting CO₂ into coal seams could enhance CBM recovery, and under appropriate conditions, could sequester certain amounts of CO₂ (Gunter et al. 2004; White et al. 2005; Shi et al. 2008). Since 2002, additional CO₂-ECBM experiments have been performed in a number of places in China, such as Qinshui basin in Shanxi, Luling in Huaibei, and Encun in Jiaozuo. Some wells yielded good results with respect to methane output, but others did not perform satisfactorily. These results demonstrated that successful CO₂ injection depends upon certain conditions (Wong et al. 2007; Ye et al. 2007).

X. Ni (🖂)

State Key Laboratory Cultivation Base for Gas Geology and Gas Control, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, China e-mail: nxm1979@126.com

X. Ni · Q. Li

School of Energy Science and Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, China

School of Civil Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, China

Currently, CO₂-ECBM research is mainly focused on the different adsorption abilities of coal for CO₂ and CH₄ and the changes in coal permeability caused by CO₂ adsorption. With respect to the difference in the adsorption of CO₂ and CH₄, some laboratory experiments have shown that more CO₂ is adsorbed in coal than CH₄ under the same conditions, and CO₂ may displace CH₄ adsorbed by coal (Reznik et al. 1984; Zuber 1998; Clarkson and Bustin 2000; Wu and Guo 2001; Zhang et al. 2005; Tang et al. 2006; Lv et al. 2011). With respect to coal matrix expansion and permeability changes due to CO₂ adsorption, mathematical and physical models of coal matrix expansion and contraction during the adsorption/desorption process based on surface chemistry and elastic mechanics theories have been confirmed with experimental data (Wu et al. 2005; Zhou et al. 2011; Ni et al. 2013). In addition, coal matrix expansion and contraction and permeability changes during the adsorption/desorption process have been measured using coal adsorption, deformation, and permeability testers; a regularity of the changes was discovered that has provided a theoretical basis for additional field CO₂ injection studies (Fang et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010; Duan et al. 2012).

In fact, coal contains clay minerals such as kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite, which after reacting with carbonic acid formed from injected CO₂ (Pi et al. 2009) may affect the permeability of coal seams to some degree. Montmorillonite is a silicate mineral with two siliconoxygen tetrahedra and an aluminum-oxygen octahedron. Studies of the dissolution and precipitation behavior of montmorillonite in hydrochloric and mud acid solutions and the dissolution profiles of Si and Al from montmorillonite have revealed that the secondary precipitation of montmorillonite may reduce the permeability of coal seams (Wei and Tian 1998; Zhong 2006; Yan et al. 2007). It has also been found that the dissolution processes for Si and Al from montmorillonite into an aqueous solution are different (Sondi et al. 2008). Furthermore, montmorillonite has been shown to dissolve more readily in alkaline (NaOH, Na₂₋ CO₃) solutions with an obvious increase in Si and Al ions in the solutions, and generally more Si ions than Al ions (Xiong et al. 2009).

 CO_2 , after being injected into coal seams, may form carbonic acid, which is more acidic than water and alkaline solutions and less acidic than hydrochloric and mud acid solutions. Under different conditions (solution acidity, pH value, and temperature), montmorillonite exhibits different dissolution and precipitation profiles in carbonic acid solutions. The study of the Si and Al dissolution/precipitation profiles in carbonic acid solutions after acidification of montmorillonite may promote the understanding of the micromechanisms and reaction behavior of montmorillonite after the injection of CO_2 into coal seams; thus, the study is important for reducing coal seam damage caused by CO_2 injection and enhancing coal bed methane recovery.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

Samples of montmorillonite ores were taken from Lingshou in China's Hebei province. The ores were first crushed and sifted using a sieve (>100 mesh per square inch). The montmorillonite particles were analyzed using an X-ray diffractometer; subsequently, elemental analysis was performed on more than 90 % of the montmorillonite powder using an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer. The results are listed in Table 1.

2.2 Experimental procedure

The deeper the coal seam, the higher the temperature. Generally, the geothermal gradient is 1-3 °C/100 m. At present, the mining depth of coal bed methane wells is generally no more than 1,200 m. When the surface temperature is 15 °C, given a geothermal gradient of 2 °C/ 100 m, the coal seam temperature at a depth of 500 m will be around 25 °C, and the temperature at a depth of 1,250 m will be 45 °C. At ambient temperature, the pH value of labmade saturated carbonic acid solutions is 4.3. When CO_2 is released and the carbonic acid solution becomes unsaturated, the pH value is greater than 4.3. Therefore, in order to simulate the reactions of montmorillonite in carbonic acid solutions under varying saturation conditions and at varying depths, lab experiments were conducted at different temperatures (25, 35, and 45 °C) and pH conditions (4.3, 5.0, and 5.7) as follows: montmorillonite (2 g weighed using a precision weighing balance) was placed in an inert plastic bottle. A carbonic acid solution was then added in a solid: liquid ratio (g/mL) of 1:15, and the bottle was sealed and placed in a constant-temperature box set at 25, 35, or 45 °C. Liquid-phase composition and solidphase elemental and structural analyses were performed after varying periods of reaction time (1, 4, 9, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h).

2.2.1 Liquid-phase composition analysis

The analysis of the composition of the liquid phase was performed in order to determine the changes in the concentrations of Si and Al in solution. A sample of supernatant was removed from the reaction bottle using a syringe and filtered through filter paper. The Si concentration in the solution was determined using the ammonium

Table 1 Elemental composition of the original montmorillonite sample

Element	0	Na	Ca	Mg	Al	Si	K	Cl
Concentration (%)	73.76	2.02	2.79	1.04	3.44	15.95	0.64	0.36

molybdate spectrophotometric method, and the Al concentration was determined using the chrome azurol photometric method (Chen et al. 2003). A Shanghai Youke 721-type visible spectrophotometer was used for the analysis.

2.2.2 Solid-phase composition analysis

Analysis of the montmorillonite was performed in order to determine the structural and elemental changes that occurred after reaction with carbonic acid. The samples (original mineral samples and reacted samples) were placed in an oven and dried at 80 °C to a constant weight and subjected to X-ray diffraction and energy-dispersive X-ray analysis. A D8ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer and an INCA-ENERAGY 250-type energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer were used for the analyses.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Liquid-phase composition

3.1.1 Experimental results

The changes in the Si and Al concentrations in solution after reaction of the montmorillonite in carbonic acid solutions at different temperatures and pH values are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

As can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2, several observations can be made regarding to the dissolution of Si and Al in carbonic acid solutions.

3.1.1.1 Effect of reaction temperature on dissolution In carbonic acid solutions of the same pH, for a given reaction time the Si concentration increased as the temperature increased. At pH 4.3, the maximum Si concentration at 25, 35, and 45 °C was 16.06, 19.15, and 26.05 mg/L respectively. On the other hand, there was little change in the Al concentration, which remained below 1.0 mg/L.

3.1.1.2 Effect of the carbonic acid solution pH on dissolution At given temperatures and reaction times, the Si concentration increased as the pH value decreased but only slightly. At 45 °C, the maximum Si concentration at pH 4.3, pH 5.0, and pH 5.7 was 26.05, 25.24, and 22.52 mg/L, respectively. Again, there was little change in the Al concentration, which remained at 1.0 mg/L, as the pH decreased.

3.1.1.3 Effect of reaction time on dissolution At a given carbonic acid solution pH, the Si concentration in solution initially increased and then decreased with reaction time. In addition, at higher temperatures, the time to reach the maximum Si concentration decreased. Thus, at 25, 35, and 45 °C, the maximum Si concentration was observed at approximately 96, 72, and 48 h, respectively. Furthermore, when the Si concentration reached a certain level, an Si(OH)₄ colloidal precipitate was formed (Wei and Tian 1998), resulting in a decrease in the Si concentration. The colloidal precipitate was then adsorbed on the surface of the montmorillonite. The dissolution rate for Si increased with increasing temperature; at 45 °C, the maximum Si concentration in solution was reached and the precipitate was formed, leading to a decrease in the Si concentration. The dissolution of Al led to the formation of an Al(OH)₃ colloidal precipitate. Compared to Si, Al reached its solubility equilibrium more rapidly, and thus dissolved more readily.

3.1.2 Si dissolution kinetic analysis

According to the profile for Si dissolution from montmorillonite into the reaction solution, the Si concentration increased and then decreased. In order to calculate the Si dissolution rate and apparent activation energy and determine the fitting of solid–liquid kinetic equations to the changing Si dissolution rates, the point at which the maximum Si concentration was reached (48 h) at 45 °C was used as the critical point for distinguishing Si dissolution from precipitation.

The Si dissolution rate was defined as follows:

$$X(\mathrm{Si}) = \frac{m}{M},\tag{1}$$

where X(Si) is the Si dissolution rate, *m* is the mass of dissolved Si (g), and *M* is the Si concentration in the sample and was calculated using the mass of the sample and the mass fraction of Si (g).

Solid–liquid–phase kinetic reaction models for mineral dissolution in acidic solutions mainly include first-order reaction models, interfacial reaction models, and capacity models (Zhong 2006).

The reaction rate equation for the first-order reaction model is:



Fig. 1 Changes in the Si and Al concentrations in solution with time at different temperatures

$$dM/dt = -KM^m [H^+]^n = -K'M^m$$
⁽²⁾

where *m* and *n* are the reaction orders; *K* and *K*' are the reaction rate constants and m = 1. Through integration, we obtain:

$$\ln(1-X) = K't \tag{3}$$

The interfacial model can be divided into a reactioncontrolled interfacial model and a diffusion-controlled interfacial model.

The reaction rate equation for the reaction-controlled model is:

$$dX/dt = K(3V/r_0^3)(1-X)^{2/3}$$
(4)

where r_0 is the particle size of the mineral, V is the molar volume, and X is the cation leaching rate.

Through integration, we obtain the following equation:

$$1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = k' t \tag{5}$$

The kinetic equation for the diffusion-controlled model is as shown below:

$$1 - 3(1 - X)^{2/3} + 2(1 - X) = k't$$
(6)



Fig. 2 Changes in the Si and Al concentrations in solution with time at different pH values

If diffusion is omitted, then the reaction rate equation for the capacity model is as shown below:

$$dX/dt = K[H^+]^m (1-X)^n$$
(7)

When there is an extraordinary excess of acid solution, $[H^+]$ may be regarded as a constant value and is as shown below:

$$dX/dt = k'(1-X)^n \tag{8}$$

The fitting results for the different kinetic models to the Si dissolution rates at different reaction times are listed in Table 2. As can be seen from the data, the capacity model did not fit the reaction order well. On the other hand, the diffusion-controlled model had the highest correlation coefficient, and thus was the best fit.

3.1.3 Calculation of the apparent activation energy

The reaction rate constants at different temperatures (25, 35, and 45 $^{\circ}$ C) obtained from the fitting of the diffusion-controlled model are listed in Table 3.

Using the Arrhenius formula:

Table 2 Fitting results for the Si dissolution kinetic constants for different models

Solid-liquid reaction kinetics model	Item	pH 4.3 Carbonic acid solution			pH 5.0 Carbonic acid solution			pH 5.7 Carbonic acid solution		
		25 °C	35 °C	45 °C	25 °C	35 °C	45 °C	25 °C	35 °C	45 °C
First-order reaction $\ln(1 - X) = Kt$	$\dot{K'}(10^{-9})$	9.89	11.53	14.98	9.69	11.48	14.47	9.11	10.51	14.11
	R	0.68	0.50	0.69	-0.0043	0.42	0.77	0.0084	0.20	0.79
Diffusion-controlled model $1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = K't$	$\dot{K}(10^{-12})$	4.38	6.01	10.50	4.02	5.73	9.85	3.58	4.78	8.89
	R	0.99	0.95	0.92	0.79	0.95	0.93	0.87	0.86	0.99
Reaction-controlled	$\dot{K}(10^{-9})$	3.30	3.84	4.99	3.23	3.82	4.82	3.04	3.50	4.70
model1 - $3(1 - X)^{2/3} + 2(1 - X) = Kt$	R	0.68	0.50	0.69	-0.0043	0.42	0.77	0.08	0.20	0.80

K is the reaction rate constant, s^{-1} ; R is the correlation coefficient; solid-to-liquid ratio is 1:15

Table 3 Reaction rate constants (Ln K and 1/T) at different temperatures obtained from fitting the diffusion-controlled model

Item	25 °C	35 °C	45 °C
$1/T \times 10^{-3} (K^{-1})$	3.35	3.25	3.14
Ln K'	-26.15	-25.84	-25.28

Table 4 Apparent activation energies for Si dissolution at differentpH values

pH value	4.3	5.0	5.7
Activation energy (kJ/mol)	34.40	35.27	35.68

$$K = A\exp(-Ea/RT),\tag{9}$$

A plot of the Ln K and 1/T values (Table 3) was created, and the apparent activation energies for Si dissolution during the reaction of montmorillonite in carbonic acid solutions with different pH values were obtained (Yang et al. 1999; Zhang 2008) (Table 4).

As indicated in Table 4, all of the apparent activation energies for Si dissolution were below 42 kJ/mol. This result suggests that the reaction proceeds via a diffusioncontrolled mechanism. The apparent activation energy decreased with a decrease in the solution pH. However, it should be noted that while these results indicate that Si dissolved more readily at lower pH values, due to the weak acidity of carbonic acid, the apparent activation energies were all close in value.

3.2 Solid-phase composition

3.2.1 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis

The results of the energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy analysis of the montmorillonite samples reacted for

Table 5	Result	s of	the	energy-c	lispersi	ve	x-	ray	anal	ysis	of 1	nont-
morillon	ite reac	cted f	for	different	times	in	а	pН	4.3	carb	onic	acid
solution	at 45 °	С										

Element	Elemental weight fraction at different reaction time (%)									
	Initial	24 h	48 h	144 h						
0	73.76	77.97	85.10	80.71						
Mg	1.04	0.65	0.45	0.62						
Al	3.44	3.41	2.14	3.00						
Si	15.95	13.98	8.78	12.49						
Cl	0.36	0.48	0.21	0.31						
К	0.65	0.54	0.33	0.60						
Ca	2.79	1.94	1.90	1.31						
Na	2.02	1.02	1.09	0.97						



Fig. 3 Energy–dispersive X-ray spectrum of montmorillonite reacted for different times in a pH 4.3 carbonic acid solution at 45 $^{\circ}C$

different periods of time in carbonic acid solutions at pH 4.3 and 45 °C are listed in Table 5 and shown in Fig. 3.

As can be seen in Table 5 and Fig. 3, both the Si and Al content initially decreased and then increased, but the Al concentration changed much less than that of Si. This result



Fig. 4 X-ray diffraction patterns before and after reaction of montmorillonite in a pH 4.3 carbonic acid solution at 45 °C for 144 h

corresponds to the Si and Al concentration changes in the reaction solution, in which the Si concentration initially increased and gradually declined. The Si concentration solution reached a maximum at 48 h and was low at 144 h. In the solid-phase montmorillonite, the Si concentration was the lowest at 48 h and higher at 144 h due to Si dissolution and precipitation, respectively. When the Si concentration in solution reached a high level, the solid-phase Si concentration decreased, and when Si precipitation occurred in solution, the Si concentration in the solid phase increased. In addition, the concentrations of Mg, Ca, and Na in the solid phase decreased with reaction time due to Mg, Ca, and Na dissolution. Interestingly, the O concentration in the solid phase initially increased and then decreased, likely because the initial quantity of dissolved O was relatively small, resulting in a relative concentration increase followed by a decrease upon precipitation of Si and other elements at 144 h. Finally, there was no significant change in the K and Cl concentrations in the solid phase.

3.2.2 Structural analysis

The X-ray diffraction patterns before and after reaction of the montmorillonite in a pH 4.3 carbonic acid solution at 45 °C for 144 h are shown in Fig. 4.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, the X-ray diffraction patterns before and after reaction of the montmorillonite in the carbonic acid solution were nearly the same, with no newly-generated or missing peaks. Carbonic acid is weak acid, and thus its reaction with montmorillonite is mild and results in little damage to the crystal structure of the mineral.

4 Conclusions

The reaction of montmorillonite in carbonic acid solutions at pH 4.3, 5.0, and 5.7 was performed, and the dissolution behavior of Si and Al and the elemental and structural changes in the montmorillonite were investigated. Based on the results of the analyses, the following conclusions were drawn:

- (1) At a given carbonic acid solution pH, the concentration of dissolved Si increased as the reaction temperature increased from 25 to 45 °C, but that of dissolved Al remained nearly the same. At a constant solution pH and temperature, the Si concentration in the solution initially increased and then gradually decreased with vibrations. The Si concentration in solution reached a maximum at approximately 48, 72, and 96 h at 45, 35, and 25 °C, respectively. The Al concentration, on the other hand, increased rapidly, reaching a maximum level in approximately 1 h and remaining stable thereafter.
- (2) At a constant temperature, the Si concentration in the carbonic acid solution increased with a decrease in the pH from 4.3 to 5.7, but the change was insignificant. The dissolution of Si from montmorillonite into the carbonic acid solution fit the diffusion-controlled interfacial reaction model. Under the conditions used in this study, the apparent activation energies for Si dissolution in pH 4.3, 5.0, and 5.7 carbonic acid solutions were 34.40, 35.27, and 35.68 kJ/mol, respectively. These results indicate that the higher the acidity of the carbonic acid solution, the easier it was for Si to dissolve.
- (3) Carbonic acid is a weak acid. Therefore, the reaction of montmorillonite in carbonic acid solution caused little damage to the crystal structure of the montmorillonite.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by the National Science and Technology Special Foundation for Major Large Oil and Gas Fields and Coal Bed Methane Development (2011ZX05042-003); the Provincial Ministry of State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Open Projects Henan Gas Geology and Gas Control Laboratory (WS2013B06).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Chen Z, Chai GX, Ma LC, Zhou ZQ (2003) Determination of silicon and aluminum concentrations in reaction mixture of alkaline with reservoir rock minerals and influences of polymer and surfactant. Oilfield Chem 20(4):360–362
- Clarkson CR, Bustin RM (2000) Binary gas adsorption/desorption isotherms: effect of moisture and coal composition upon carbon dioxide selectivity over methane. Int J Coal Geol 42(4):241–272
- Duan LJ, Tang SH, Xia ZH, Zhang M (2012) A review on gas sorption-induced coal swelling. Adv Earth Sci 27(3):262–267
- Fang ZM, Li XC, Bai B (2009) Study of method for simultaneously measuring adsorption deformation permeability of coal. Chin J Rock Mech Eng 28(9):1828–1833
- Gunter WD, Mavor MJ, Robinson JR, 2004. CO₂ Storage and enhanced methane production: field testing at the Fenn-Big Valley, Alberta, Canada, with application. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, Vancouver, Canada
- Liu YB, Cao SG, Li Y, Wang J, Guo P, Xu J, Bai YJ (2010) Experimental study of swelling deformation effect of coal induced by gas adsorption. Chin J Rock Mech Eng 29(12): 2485–2491
- Lv YM, Tang DZ, Xu H, Tao S (2011) CO₂ sequestration enhancing coal bed methane recovery. Environ Sci Technol 34(5):95–99
- Ni XM, Li QZh, Wang YB, Gao SS (2013) Coal matrix deformation characteristics in the process of carbon dioxide displacing different gas saturation coal-bed methane. J Coal Sci Eng (China) 19(3):303–308
- Pi YL, Peng B, Zhao YH, Lin MQ, Li MY (2009) Investigation on the pH variation characteristics of CO₂-brine system in high pressure. Appl Chem Ind 38(4):469–473
- Reznik AA, Aingh PK, Foiey WL (1984) An analysis of the effect of CO₂ injection on the recovery of in situ methane from Bituminous coal: an experimental simulation. SPE J 24(5):521–528
- Shi JQ, Durucan S, Fujioka M (2008) A reservoir simulation study of CO₂ injection and N₂ flooding at the Ishikari coalfield CO₂ storage pilot project, Japan. Int J Greenh Gas Control 2(1):47–57
- Sondi I, Tomašić V, Vinceković NF (2008) Release of silicon and aluminum from montmorillonite surfaces in aqueous systems. Croat Chem Acta 81(4):623–629

- Tang SH, Ma CX, Ye JP, Wu JG (2006) A modeling experiment of enhancing coal bed methane recovery by carbon dioxide injection. J China Univ Min Technol 35(5):607–616
- Wei L, Tian YL (1998) An experimental study on reaction of montmorillonite with mud acid. Oilfield Chem 15(3):237–240
- White CM, Smith DH, Jones KL, Goodman AL, Jikich SA, Lacount RB, Dubose SB, Ozdemir E, Morsi BI, Schroeder KT (2005) Sequestration of carbon dioxide in coal with enhanced coalbed methane recovery a review. Energy Fuels 19(3):659–724
- Wong S, Law D, D XH, Robinson J, Kadatz B, Gunter WD, Ye JP, Feng SL, Fan ZQ (2007) Enhanced coal bed methane and CO₂ storage in anthracitic coals-micro-pilot test at South Qinshui, Shanxi, China. Int J Greenh Gas Control 1(2):215–222
- Wu SY, Guo YY (2001) Study of the mechanism of increasing production of exploitation coal bed methane by gas injection. J China Coal Soc 26(2):199–203
- Wu SY, Zhao W, Guo YY (2005) Parametric correlation between expansion deformation of coal mass and adsorption thermodynamics. J Northeast Univ 26(7):683–686
- Xiong SC, Liu WD, Gong YG, Xiao HM (2009) Study on reaction between montmorillonite and alkaline flooding agent. J Liaoning Tech Univ 28:73–75
- Yan RH, Tang HM, Li G, Lu SX (2007) Experimental study on montmorillonite reaction in acidization system. Nat Gas Geosci 18(4):626–628
- Yang BJ, Yu SM, Shan CX (1999) Study on kinetics of serpentine leaching in sulfuric acid. J Chin Ceram Soc 27(1):65–70
- Ye JP, Feng SL, Fan ZQ, Wang GQ, Gunter WD, Wong S, Robinson JR (2007) Micro-pilot test for enhanced coal bed methane recovery by injecting carbon dioxide in south part of Qinshui Basin. Acta Pet Sin 28(4):77–80
- Zhang YJ (2008) Physical chemistry. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing
- Zhang QL, Zhang Q, Cui YJ, Yang J (2005) Research on coal-multicomponent gas adsorption characteristics. Nat Gas Ind 25(1):57–60
- Zhong S (2006) Study on kinetics of acid-dissolution of montmorillonite and the influence of acid-dissolution on the structure of montmorillonite. Master's thesis, Hefei University of Technology
- Zhou JP, Xian XF, Jiang YD, Gu DS, Wang C (2011) A model of adsorption induced coal deformation based on thermodynamics approach. J China Coal Soc 36(3):468–472
- Zuber MD (1998) Production characteristics and reservoir analysis of coal bed methane reservoirs. Int J Coal Geol 38(1–2):27–45